



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/199,121 11/24/98 ROSSMANN

A M-3423-1CUS

TM02/0206

EDWARD C. KWOK
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON FRANKLIN &
FRIEL
25 METRO DRIVE, STE. 700
SAN JOSE CA 95110

EXAMINER

FERGUSON, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED:

02/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/199,121

Applicant(s)

ROSSMANN, ALAIN

Examiner

Keith T. Ferguson

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 1998.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 62-68 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 62-68 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. ☐ received.
2. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____.
3. ☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 14) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 15) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 16) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8.
- 17) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 18) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 19) ☐ Other: 8.

09/199,121

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

1. Claims 62, 63, 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Minor et al..

The claimed invention reads on Minor et al. as follows:

Minor et al. discloses a method for a computer (server) (col. 2 lines 44-46), comprising: receiving from a end-user computer (client device) over a wireless link a request for access to a resource in a data network (col. 3 lines 39-44; col. 5 lines 24-38 and col. 6 lines 20-28), said request comprising a resource locator and authentication information regarding said client device (col. 3 lines 39-44 and lines 57-58); determining if said authentication information sufficiently supports said request (col. 3 lines 60-65); accessing said resource and receiving information resulting from said access (col. 3 lines 60-65); generating a response to said request based on said information (col. 3 lines 60-65); and transmitting said response to said client device over said wireless network (col. 6 lines 20-24).

09/199,121

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 63, Minor et al. discloses said resource locator identifies information (a file) be accessed (col. 3 lines 41-44).

Regarding claim 65, Minor et al. discloses said response comprises a distillation of said message according to a set of predetermined programs (rules) (col. 7 lines 30-57).

Regarding claim 66, Minor et al. discloses a compressed version of said message (first bytes define a zip code, by next byte define age) (col. 7 lines 45-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 64, 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minor et al. in view of Farris et al..

Regarding claims 64, 67 and 68, Minor et al. discloses a method for a computer (server) as discussed supra. Minor et al.

09/199,121

Art Unit: 2683

differs from claims 64, 67 and 68 of the present invention in that it do not disclose said message is provided in a markup language; an e-mail message and a voice mail message. Minor et al. discloses said message is provide in a Hypertext transport protocol (HTTP) (col. 1 lines 40-46). Farris et al. discloses a message provided in a markup language (col. 40 lines 40-52); and an e-mail message and a voice mail message (col. 35 lines 46-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Minor et al. with said message is provided in a markup language; an e-mail message and a voice mail message in order for the World-Wide Web servers to store multi-media information in a document format to provide data/voice mail and/or e-mail messages to be sent to a client device such as a cellular telephone, as taught by Farris et al..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith T. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (703) 308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-6306 for regular communications and (703) 308-6306 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number:

Page 5


097199,121

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Keith Ferguson
Art Unit 2683
February 1, 2001

KEITH FERGUSON
PATENT EXAMINER



William G. Trost
Primary Examiner